



# *Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT)*

<b>WARNING!</b> 	Documentation updated: <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf</a>
Useful URLs	<p>Check our dedicated web site to stay updated with the latest news, documentations, etc. <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/</a></p> <p>LOV4IoT RDF dataset URL: <a href="http://purl.org/lov4iot-dataset">http://purl.org/lov4iot-dataset</a></p> <p>LOV4IoT Javadoc: <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/javadoc/index.html">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/javadoc/index.html</a></p>
Version 2	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf</a>
Version 1	<del>DEPRECATED –</del> <a href="http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf">http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/documentation/LOV4IoTDocumentation.pdf</a>
Creator	<p>Amelie Gyrard (Kno.e.sis - Ohio Center of Excellence in Knowledge-enabled Computing Wright State University, Ohio, USA) Previously (Ecole des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France) Previously (Insight - NUIG/DERI, Galway, Ireland)</p> <p>Designed and implemented by Amélie Gyrard, she was a PhD student at Eurecom under the supervision of Prof. Christian Bonnet and Dr. Karima Boudaoud. She was also a post-doc researcher at Insight within the IoT unit led by Dr. Martin Serrano. She is highly involved in the FIESTA-IoT (Federated Interoperable Semantic IoT/Cloud Testbeds and Applications) H2020 project.</p>
Contributors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Nicolas Seydoux</li><li>• Ghislain Atemezing</li><li>• Yulia Svetashova (questions related to the LOV4IoT RDF dataset, provenance)</li><li>• Thanks to the wonderful LOV team for sharing their expertise ☺</li></ul>
Send Feedback	<p>Do not hesitate to ask for help or give us feedback, advices to improve our tools or documentations, fix bugs and make them more user-friendly and convenient:</p> <p><b><a href="mailto:amelie@knosis.org">amelie@knosis.org</a></b></p>
Google Group	<a href="https://groups.google.com/d/forum/m3-semantic-web-of-things">https://groups.google.com/d/forum/m3-semantic-web-of-things</a>
Last updated	<p>March 2021</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Documentation LOV4IoT web services to retrieve all ontology for home/building</li><li>• Documentation LOV4IoT web services to retrieve all ontology for energy</li><li>• Documentation LOV4IoT web services to retrieve all ontology for transport</li><li>• Documentation LOV4IoT web services to retrieve all ontology for weather</li></ul> <p>December 2018</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section LOV4IoT RDF dataset: SPARQL queries, RDF instance explanations, example</li> <li>• Javadoc generation (much more description should be provided later)</li> </ul> <p>November 2018</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New web service <a href="/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&amp;domain=Robotic">/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&amp;domain=Robotic</a></li> </ul> <p>November 2017:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommendations for the new web site and up-to-date documentations</li> </ul> <p>October 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Query all health ontologies (to get their URL) with the LOV4IoT web service</li> <li>• All web services are now hosted on <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/</a>, documentation updated</li> </ul> <p>August 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LOV4IoT web services for PerfectO</li> <li>• LOV4IoT web services summary table and description</li> <li>• LOV4IoT more automatic with more web services</li> <li>• Refinement between IoT, WoT, Smart City and Sensor ontologies</li> <li>• Methodology to update the ontology catalogue – V1</li> </ul> <p>September, June 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add section web services/APIs (already explained in the M3 documentation)</li> <li>• Restructuration of the document</li> <li>• Update LOV4IoT citations</li> <li>• Suggestion new web services – improvement idea</li> </ul> <p>April 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Errors with LOV, LOV community Google +</li> <li>• Suggesting a vocab to LOV4IoT</li> </ul> <p>March 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggesting a vocab to LOV</li> </ul>
Created	February 2016
Status	 Work in progress
Goal	<p>This documentation enables understanding the LOV4IoT tool:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use the Graphical User interface (GUI)</li> <li>• Use the web services</li> <li>• Contribute to the LOV4IoT knowledge base</li> </ul> <p>Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT) is an extension of Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV) for Internet of Things</p>
Useful links	<p>M3 ontology OWL code: <a href="http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#">http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#</a></p> <p>M3 ontology documentation:  <a href="http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/documentation/NomenclatureSensorData.pdf">http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/documentation/NomenclatureSensorData.pdf</a> </p> <p>M3 documentation with Parrot: <a href="http://ontorule-project.eu/parrot/parrot?documentUri=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sensormeasurement.appspot.com%2Fm3%23&amp;mimetype=application%2Frdf%2Bxml&amp;profile=technical&amp;language=">http://ontorule-project.eu/parrot/parrot?documentUri=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sensormeasurement.appspot.com%2Fm3%23&amp;mimetype=application%2Frdf%2Bxml&amp;profile=technical&amp;language=</a></p>

	en&customizeCssUrl=
--	---------------------

## *Table of contents*

I.	LOV4IoT Web services hosted on LOV4IoT.....	11
1.	Summary .....	11
2.	Web service: Get the total number of ontologies .....	11
3.	Web service: Get the number of ontologies by domains .....	12
4.	Web service: Get the number of ontology by ontology status .....	13
5.	Web Service: Get all domains referenced within LOV4IoT.....	14
6.	Web Service: Get all ontologies referenced within LOV4IoT .....	14
7.	Web Service: Get all ontologies for a specific domain .....	15
A.	Web Service: Get all ontologies for Home .....	15
B.	Web Service: Get all ontologies for Energy/grid.....	16
C.	Web Service: Get all ontologies for Transport/Mobility.....	17
D.	Web Service: Get all ontologies for Weather .....	17
8.	Web Service: Get all health ontologies useful information.....	18
9.	Web Service: Get the status of the ontology for a specifc domain.....	19
II.	How to use the LOV4IoT RDF dataset (Updated Dec.2018).....	20
1.	LOV4IoT RDF instance example .....	20
2.	SPARQL QUERY example: Count the total number of ontologies (New Dec. 2018) ...	21
3.	SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all domains from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018).....	21
4.	SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018).....	22
5.	SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) per domain from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018) .....	23
6.	SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per domain from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018).....	24
7.	SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per status (e.g., online) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018) .....	24
8.	SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per status (e.g., online) for a specific domain (e.g., robotic ) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018) .....	24
9.	Adding a new instance in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset.....	25
III.	LOV4IoT Javadoc Documentation .....	25
IV.	Adding a new domain to LOV4IoT Methodology.....	26

V.	Refinement between IoT, WoT, Smart City and Sensor ontologies.....	27
VI.	LOV4IoT Citations.....	28
VII.	Introduction: From LOV to LOV4IoT .....	28
10.	Suggesting a vocabulary to LOV .....	29
11.	Errors encountered when suggesting a vocabulary on LOV .....	33
12.	Suggesting a vocabulary to LOV4IoT .....	35
VIII.	Reusing domain knowledge with LOV4IoT .....	36
IX.	LOV4IoT web services/APIs.....	38
1.	LOV4IoTWS Java class .....	38
2.	Web service: Get the total number of ontologies .....	39
3.	Web service: Get the number of ontologies by domains .....	40
4.	Web service: Get the number of ontology by ontology status .....	41
5.	Use Case: LO4IoT HTML user interface using web services .....	42
X.	HTML web page .....	44
1.	Adding a new ontology in LOV4IoT HTML web page.....	44
XI.	LOV4IoT ontology.....	45
1.	Visualizing LOV4IoT with WEBOWL.....	45
XII.	LOV4IoT Bot .....	46
1.	LOV Bot user interface .....	46
2.	LOV Bot explanations.....	47
XIII.	LOV4IoT Architecture.....	48
XIV.	Repository purl with Ghis.....	48
XV.	LOV4IoT Use Cases.....	48
I.	LOV4IoT sequence diagram .....	48
1.	Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework.....	48
2.	Domain experts.....	49
3.	Knowledge extraction experts .....	49
4.	Ontology matching tool experts .....	49
5.	IoT/SWoT developers and projects .....	49
6.	Ontology matching tool experts .....	50
II.	Lessons Learnt: Best Practices .....	50
1.	Ontology Documentation .....	50
III.	Improvement ideas .....	51

1.	Improving the user interface .....	51
2.	Checking best practices .....	53
3.	Automatically updating LOV4IoT .....	54
4.	New web service .....	55
IV.	References .....	55

## Table of figures

FIGURE 1. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES .....	12
FIGURE 2. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES BY DOMAIN .....	13
FIGURE 3. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES BY ONTOLOGY STATUS .....	13
FIGURE 4. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE GETALLDOMAINFROMLOV4IoT .....	14
FIGURE 5. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE GETONTO.....	14
FIGURE 6. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN=CITY.....	15
FIGURE 7. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN=BUILDINGAUTOMATION..	16
FIGURE 8. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN=ENERGYFOI .....	16
FIGURE 9. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN=TRANSPORTATION.....	17
FIGURE 10. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN=WEATHER.....	18
FIGURE 11. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /GETONTODOMAIN/?DOMAIN= HEALTH .....	18
FIGURE 12. RESULT RETURNED BY THE WEB SERVICE /LOV4IoT/ONTOSTATUSPERDOMAIN/?STATUS=ONLINE&DOMAIN=ROBOTIC .....	19
FIGURE 13. LOV4IoT RDF FILE LOCATION WITH THE LOV4IoT PROJECT CODE (SCREENSHOT DECEMBER 2018) .....	20
FIGURE 14. LOV4IoT RDF INSTANCE EXAMPLE .....	21
FIGURE 15. SPARQL QUERY TO COUNT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES.....	21
FIGURE 16. SPARQL QUERY TO RETRIEVE ALL DOMAINS FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	22
FIGURE 17. SPARQL QUERY TO RETRIEVE ALL ONTOLOGIES (NAME, URLs) FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	23
FIGURE 18. SPARQL QUERY TO RETRIEVE ALL ONTOLOGIES (NAME, URLs) PER DOMAIN FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	23
FIGURE 19. SPARQL QUERY TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGY PER DOMAIN FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	24
FIGURE 20. SPARQL QUERY TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGY PER STATUS (ONLINE, NOT ONLINE YET, ETC.) FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET.....	24
FIGURE 21. SPARQL QUERY TO RETRIEVE ALL ONTOLOGY STATUS (E.G., ONLINE) FOR A SPECIFIC DOMAIN (E.G., ROBOTIC) FROM THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	25
FIGURE 22. AN ONTOLOGY-BASED IoT PROJECT REFERENCED IN THE LOV4IoT RDF DATASET .....	25
FIGURE 23. IoT, WoT, WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS ARE COMPLIMENTARY.....	28
FIGURE 24. LINKED OPEN VOCABULARIES (LOV).....	29
FIGURE 25. SUGGEST A VOCABULARY ON LOV .....	30
FIGURE 26. SUGGEST YOUR ONTOLOGY ON LOV .....	30
FIGURE 27. SUGGEST YOUR ONTOLOGY ON LOV WITHOUT ERRORS.....	31
FIGURE 28. LOV RECOMMENDATIONS .....	32
FIGURE 29. ERRORS ENCOUNTERING WHEN SUGGESTING ONTOLOGIES ON LOV .....	33
FIGURE 30. LOV COMMUNITY ON GOOGLE + .....	34
FIGURE 31. THE REACTIVE LOV COMMUNITY GUIDES US TO FIX ISSUES REGARDING ONTOLOGIES .....	34
FIGURE 32. ALMOST 300 ONTOLOGY-BASED PROJECTS REFERENCED IN LOV4IoT.....	35
FIGURE 33. ONTOLOGIES CLASSIFIED IN VARIOUS DOMAINS .....	36
FIGURE 34. CLASSIFICATION OF PROJECTS ACCORDING TO THE REUSABILITY .....	36
FIGURE 35. SCREENSHOT OF LOV4IoT .....	37
FIGURE 36. LOV4IoTWS JAVA CLASS LOCATION .....	38
FIGURE 37. EXAMPLE OF THE LOV4IoT/TOTALONTO: WEB SERVICE .....	39
FIGURE 38. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES .....	40
FIGURE 39. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES BY DOMAIN .....	41
FIGURE 40. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICE TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF ONTOLOGIES BY ONTOLOGY STATUS .....	42
FIGURE 41. LOV4IoT WEB SERVICES.....	43
FIGURE 42. LOV4IoT HTML FILE LOCATION .....	44
FIGURE 43. LOV4IoT ONTOLOGY FILE LOCATION.....	45
FIGURE 44. VISUALIZING LOV4IoT ONTOLOGY WITH WEBOWL .....	46
FIGURE 45. LOV4IoT BOT USER INTERFACE .....	46
FIGURE 46. CODE TO SEND EMAILS TO CONVINCE AUTHORS TO SHARE THEIR ONTOLOGIES WITH LOV4IoT BOT.....	47
FIGURE 47. BUBBLE VIEW TO CLASSIFY ONTOLOGIES ACCORDING TO THE SENSOR TYPE .....	52
FIGURE 48. BUBBLE VIEW TO CLASSIFY ONTOLOGIES ACCORDING TO THE IoT APPLICATIVE DOMAIN .....	53
FIGURE 49. BEST PRACTICES TOOLS INTEGRATED WITH LOV.....	54

FIGURE 50. INTEGRATING LOV4IoT WITH SEMANTIC SEARCH ENGINES AND CATALOGUES.....55

## Terms and acronyms

IoT	Internet of Things (IoT)
LOV	Linked Open Vocabularies
LOV4IoT	Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things
M3 framework	Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework



# I. LOV4IoT Web services hosted on LOV4IoT

## 1. Summary

**Table 1. Summary LOV4IoT Web serviced hosted on PerfectO**

Get number total of ontologies	E.g., <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/totalOnto/">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/totalOnto/</a>
Get the number of ontologies by domains	E.g., <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation</a>
Get the number of ontology by ontology status	E.g., <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatus/?status=Online">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatus/?status=Online</a>
Get all domains referenced within LOV4IoT	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getAllDomainFromLOV4IoT">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getAllDomainFromLOV4IoT</a>
Get all ontology links referenced within LOV4IoT	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOnto/">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOnto/</a>
Get all ontologies for a specific domain	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain={domain}">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain={domain}</a> Generic web service, domain must be replaced by a domain referenced within the M3 taxonomy. E.g., <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=City">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=City</a> <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=EnergyFOI">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=EnergyFOI</a> <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation</a> <a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Transportation">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Transportation</a>
Get all health ontologies	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Health">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Health</a>
Get ontology status for a specific domain	<a href="http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&amp;domain=Robot%20ic">http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&amp;domain=Robot%20ic</a> E.g., number of ontology online for the robotic domain

## 2. Web service: Get the total number of ontologies

Query:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/totalOnto/>

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document

```
- <sparql>
  - <head>
    <variable name="ontologyTotal"/>
  </head>
  - <results>
    - <result>
      - <binding name="ontologyTotal">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">270</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>
```

**Figure 1. LOV4IoT Web service to count the total number of ontologies**

In the picture, 270 is the total number of ontologies referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset.

### 3. Web service: Get the number of ontologies by domains

Query: <http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation>

For instance domain is: BuildingAutomation, Weather, Emotion, Agriculture, Health, Tourism, Transportation, City, Energy, Environment, TrackingFood, Activity, Fire, TrackingCD, TrackingDVD, SensorNetworks, Security.

The domain is referenced in the M3 nomenclature which is implemented in the M3 ontology (subclassOf FeatureOfInterest).

```

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document
Content-type: application/rdf+xml

- <sparql>
  - <head>
    <variable name="nbOntoDomain"/>
  </head>
  - <results>
    - <result>
      - <binding name="nbOntoDomain">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">45</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>

```

**Figure 2.** LOV4IoT Web service to count the number of ontologies by domain

#### 4. Web service: Get the number of ontology by ontology status

Query:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatus/?status=Online>

For instance, status is: Confidential, OngoingProcessOnline, WaitForAnswer, Online, OnelinLOV, AlreadyLOV.

```

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document
Content-type: application/rdf+xml

- <sparql>
  - <head>
    <variable name="ontologyTotal"/>
  </head>
  - <results>
    - <result>
      - <binding name="ontologyTotal">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">87</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>

```

**Figure 3.** LOV4IoT Web service to count the number of ontologies by ontology status

The web service returns that 87 ontologies referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset are online.

## 5. Web Service: Get all domains referenced within LOV4IoT

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getAllDomainFromLOV4IoT>

Web service Output: Domains URL, labels and comments are returned by the web service.

```
<spaqrl xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/sparql-results#>
<head>
  <variable name="domainURL"/>
  <variable name="domainLabel"/>
  <variable name="domainComment"/>
</head>
<results>
  <result>
    <binding name="domainURL">
      <uri>
        http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#Agriculture
      </uri>
    </binding>
    <binding name="domainLabel">
      <literal xml:lang="en">Agriculture - Smart farm</literal>
    </binding>
    <binding name="domainComment">
      <literal xml:lang="en">
        Agriculture or Smart farm as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to classify projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project.
      </literal>
    </binding>
  </result>
</results>
```

Figure 4. Result returned by the web service getAllDomainFromLOV4IoT

## 6. Web Service: Get all ontologies referenced within LOV4IoT

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOnto/>

Web service Output: Project URL, Ontology URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

```
<result>
  <binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>
      http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/CityPulse
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>http://purl.oclc.org/NET/UNIS/sao/sao#</uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Stream Annotation ontology (SAO) ontology and dataset
    </literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      CityPulse project A knowledge-based approach for real-time IoT data stream annotation and processing [Kolozali et al. iThings 2014]
    </literal>
  </binding>
</result>
<binding name="domainComment">
  <literal xml:lang="en">
    Smart City as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to classify projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project. >
  </literal>
</binding>
</results>
```

Figure 5. Result returned by the web service getOnto

## 7. Web Service: Get all ontologies for a specific domain

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=City>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology (in this example ?domain is “**City**”).

Web service Output: Project URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

```
lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=City
▼<result>
  ▼<binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/Consoli2015City</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>http://www.ontologydesignpatterns.org/ont/prisma/ontology.owl</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Smart City PRISMA ontology</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">A Smart City Data Model based on Semantics Best Practice and Principles [Consoli et al. WWW Companion 2015]</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Smart City</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Smart City as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Monitoring of Bridges, Dams, Levees, Canals for material work and prevents significant damage. Monitoring of highways and providing appropriate signage ensures optimized traffic flow. Smart Parking spaces and automates billing/reservations. Smart control of street lights based on presence detection, weather predictions, etc. reduces cost Project.</literal>
  </binding>
</result>
```

**Figure 6. Result returned by the web service /getOntoDomain/?domain=City**

### A. Web Service: Get all ontologies for Home

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology (in this example ?domain is “**BuildingAutomation**”).

Web service Output: Project URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

```

lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation
▼<result>
  ▼<binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/KonlakornHomeActivity2013</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/home/homeActivity</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Activity Ontology from [Wongpatikseree et al. 2013]</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">A context aware information in smart home for recommendation service based on care architecture. 2013</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Smart Home - Building Automation - Smart Building - Domotic </literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Smart home as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Monitoring the energy usage throughout the building equipment in the buildings such as HVAC, Elevators, etc. and fixing problems early improves the satisfaction of occupants. Useful to c</literal>
  </binding>
</result>

```

**Figure 7. Result returned by the web service  
/getOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation**

## B. Web Service: Get all ontologies for Energy/grid

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=EnergyFOI>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology (in this example ?domain is “**EnergyFOI**”).

Web service Output: Project URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

```

lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=EnergyFOI
▼<result>
  ▼<binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/KoflerBuildingAutomation2011</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>https://www.auto.tuwien.ac.at/downloads/thinkhome/ontology/EnergyResourceOntology.owl</uri>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">ThinkHome ontology, dataset and rules</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">ThinkHome project - An Intelligent Knowledge Representation of Smart Home Energy Parameters. Information in Future Smart Homes. A knowledge-base for Energy-Efficient Smart Homes [Kofler et al. 2011]</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Energy - Smart Grid</literal>
  </binding>
  ▼<binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Energy Monitoring Systems (EMSs) as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to c</literal>
  </binding>
</result>

```

**Figure 8. Result returned by the web service /getOntoDomain/?domain=EnergyFOI**


## C. Web Service: Get all ontologies for Transport/Mobility

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Transportation>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology (in this example ?domain is “Transportation”).

Web service Output: Project URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.



```
Not secure | lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Transportation
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<result>
  <binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>
      http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/Morignot
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>
      http://philippe.morignot.free.fr/Articles/Perception.owl
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Automated Vehicle for Co-Driving Ontology .</literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      An ontology-based Model to determine the automation level of an automated vehicle for co-driving [Morignot et al. 2013]
    </literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Transport - Smart Car - Vehicle - Intelligent Transport System (ITS)
    </literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Smart Car as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to classify projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project.
    </literal>
  </binding>
</result>
```

**Figure 9. Result returned by the web service /getOntoDomain/?domain=Transportation**

## D. Web Service: Get all ontologies for Weather

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Weather>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology (in this example ?domain is “Weather”).

Web service Output: Project URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<result>
  <binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>
      http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/HensonSemSOS2013
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>
      http://sonicbanana.cs.wright.edu/ssw/ont/weather.owl
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Linked Sensor Data ontology and dataset</literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      PhD Thesis: A semantics-based approach to machine perception, SemSOS: Semantic sensor observation service [Henson et al. 2013], Linked Sensor Data al. 2008
    </literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Weather Forecasting - Meteorology</literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Weather Forecasting as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to classify projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project.
    </literal>
  </binding>
</result>

```

**Figure 10.** Result returned by the web service /getOntoDomain/?domain=Weather

## 8. Web Service: Get all health ontologies useful information

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/perfectoOnto/getOntoDomain/?domain=Health>

?domain is the parameter of the web service and should be compliant with the domains referenced within M3 ontology.

Web service Output: Ontology URL, project labels and comments (including the research paper) are returned by the web service.

We suggest to retrieve all <uri> from OntologyURL parameter.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<result>
  <binding name="projectOntology">
    <uri>
      http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/DemaCare
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="ontologyURL">
    <uri>
      http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/home/demcare/lab.owl
    </uri>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">DemaCare Ontology - Lab</literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="projectComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Knowledge-driven activity recognition and segmentation using context connections [DemaCare 2006-2014, ISWC 2014]
    </literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainLabel">
    <literal xml:lang="en">Healthcare</literal>
  </binding>
  <binding name="domainComment">
    <literal xml:lang="en">
      Healthcare as an Internet of Things (IoT) applicative domain. Useful to classify projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project.
    </literal>
  </binding>
</result>

```

**Figure 11.** Result returned by the web service /getOntoDomain/?domain= Health

## 9. Web Service: Get the status of the ontology for a specific domain

Result returned by the web service:

<http://lov4iot.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&domain=Robotic>

The picture explains that 8 ontologies are available online for the robotic domain.

```
<sparql xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/sparql-results#">
  <head>
    <variable name="ontologyTotal"/>
  </head>
  <results>
    <result>
      <binding name="ontologyTotal">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">8</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>
```


**Figure 12. Result returned by the web service  
/lov4iot/ontoStatusPerDomain/?status=Online&domain=Robotic**

## II. How to use the LOV4IoT RDF dataset (Updated Dec.2018)

You can download the LOV4IoT RDF dataset and write your own SPARQL queries.

Option 1: LOV4IoT RDF dataset URL: <http://purl.org/lov4iot-dataset>

Option 2: The location of the file within our LOV4IoT Project under Eclipse (see Figure below)



**Figure 13. LOV4IoT RDF file location with the LOV4IoT project code  
(screenshot December 2018)**

### 1. LOV4IoT RDF instance example

Some explanations:

- m3:M2MApplication is the way to represent an IoT-ontology based project. When we started this work, we employed the term Machine-to-Machine (M2M) and not Internet of Things (IoT).

- m3: hasContext provides the IoT application domain (e.g., Environment and Fire in this example)
- rdfs:label provides the name of the ontology
- rdfs:comment keeps track of the scientific publications explaining the ontology
- dcterms:modified provides the date the last time we updated this instance. Sometimes the ontologies are shared online, or not available any more, etc.
- dcterms:issued keeps track when the ontology has been added to the LOV4IoT dataset
- lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus provides the status of the ontology (e.g., Online)
- m3:hasOntologyURL provides the URL of the ontology

```

<m3:M2MApplication rdf:about="Barros2015FireSalvadorEx1">
  <m3:hasContext rdf:resource="#m3:Environment"/>
  <m3:hasContext rdf:resource="#m3:Fire"/>
  <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">Edxl-ontology</rdfs:label>
  <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">Edxl-ontology: Conceptual model for semantic integration [Barros et al. ISCRAM 2015]</rdfs:comment>
  <dcterms:modified rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date">2018-12-03</dcterms:modified>
  <dcterms:issued rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date">2018-10-23</dcterms:issued>
  <lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus rdf:resource="#lov4iot;Online"/>
  <m3:hasUrlOntology rdf:resource="https://w3id.org/edxl/edxl_de"/>
  <m3:hasUrlOntology rdf:resource="https://w3id.org/edxl/edxl_sitrep"/>
  <m3:hasUrlOntology rdf:resource="https://w3id.org/edxl/edxl_cap"/>
  <m3:hasUrlOntology rdf:resource="https://w3id.org/edxl/edxl_rm"/>
</m3:M2MApplication>

```

**Figure 14. LOV4IoT RDF instance example**

## 2. SPARQL QUERY example: Count the total number of ontologies (New Dec. 2018)

```

PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# SPARQL query to count the total number of ontologies

SELECT DISTINCT (COUNT(?m2mAppli) AS ?ontologyTotal) WHERE {
  ?m2mAppli rdf:type m3:M2MApplication .
  ?m2mAppli lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus ?ontologyStatus .
}

```

**Figure 15. SPARQL query to count the total number of ontologies**

## 3. SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all domains from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```

PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# Created: May 2017
# SPARQL query to retrieve all domains from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset
# domains which are referenced within M3 ontology
# Relevant for PerfectO demos: http://perfectsemanticweb.appspot.com/

SELECT DISTINCT
?domainURL
?domainLabel ?domainComment
WHERE{
    ?projectOntology m3:hasUrlOntology ?ontologyURL .
    ?projectOntology m3:hasContext ?domainURL.

    OPTIONAL{
        ?domainURL rdfs:label ?domainLabel .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?domainLabel), "en"))
    }

    OPTIONAL{
        ?domainURL rdfs:comment ?domainComment .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?domainComment), "en"))
    }
}

```

**Figure 16. SPARQL query to retrieve all domains from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset**

#### 4. SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```

PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# Created: April 2017
# SPARQL query to retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset
# Relevant for Perfect0 demos: http://perfectsemanticweb.appspot.com/

SELECT DISTINCT ?projectOntology ?ontologyURL ?projectLabel ?projectComment WHERE{
    ?projectOntology m3:hasUrlOntology ?ontologyURL .

    OPTIONAL{
        ?projectOntology rdfs:label ?projectLabel .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?projectLabel), "en"))
    }
    OPTIONAL{
        ?projectOntology rdfs:comment ?projectComment .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?projectComment), "en"))
    }
}

```

**Figure 17. SPARQL query to retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset**

## 5. SPARQL QUERY example: Retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) per domain from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```

PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# Created: May 2017
# SPARQL query to retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset
# given a specific domain (e.g., health, robotic), domains are referenced within M3 ontology
# Relevant for Perfect0 demos: http://perfectsemanticweb.appspot.com/

SELECT DISTINCT ?projectOntology ?ontologyURL ?projectLabel ?projectComment ?domainLabel ?domainComment WHERE{
    ?projectOntology m3:hasUrlOntology ?ontologyURL .
    ?projectOntology m3:hasContext ?domainURL
    OPTIONAL{
        ?projectOntology rdfs:label ?projectLabel .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?projectLabel), "en"))
    }
    OPTIONAL{
        ?projectOntology rdfs:comment ?projectComment .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?projectComment), "en"))
    }
    OPTIONAL{
        ?domainURL rdfs:label ?domainLabel .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?domainLabel), "en"))
    }
    OPTIONAL{
        ?domainURL rdfs:comment ?domainComment .
        FILTER(LANGMATCHES(LANG(?domainComment), "en"))
    }
}

```

**Figure 18. SPARQL query to retrieve all ontologies (name, URLs) per domain from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset**

## 6. SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per domain from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>

# SPARQL query to count the number of ontology per domain from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

select distinct (count(?m2mAppli) as ?nbOntoDomain) where{
    ?m2mAppli rdf:type m3:M2MApplication .
    ?m2mAppli m3:hasContext ?context .
}
```

**Figure 19.** SPARQL query to count the number of ontology per domain from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

## 7. SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per status (e.g., online) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```
PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# SPARQL query to count the number of ontology per status (online, not online yet, etc.) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

SELECT DISTINCT(COUNT(?ontologyStatus) AS ?ontologyTotal) WHERE{
    ?m2mAppli rdf:type m3:M2MApplication .
    ?m2mAppli lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus ?ontologyStatus .
}
```

**Figure 20.** SPARQL query to count the number of ontology per status (online, not online yet, etc.) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

## 8. SPARQL QUERY example: Get the number of ontologies per status (e.g., online) for a specific domain (e.g., robotic) from the LOV4IoT dataset (New Dec. 2018)

```

PREFIX rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
PREFIX m3: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/m3#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
PREFIX lov4iot: <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/m3/lov4iot#>

# Created: November 2018
# SPARQL query to retrieve all ontology status (e.g., Online) for a specific domain (e.g., Robotic) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

SELECT DISTINCT (COUNT(?ontologyStatus) AS ?ontologyTotal) WHERE{
    ?m2mAppli rdf:type m3:M2MApplication .
    ?m2mAppli lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus ?ontologyStatus .
    ?m2mAppli m3:hasContext ?context .
}

```

**Figure 21. SPARQL query to retrieve all ontology status (e.g., Online) for a specific domain (e.g., Robotic) from the LOV4IoT RDF dataset**

## 9. Adding a new instance in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset

In the LOV4IoT RDF dataset, add a new ontology-based project.

Figure below shows an instance of the LOV4IoT dataset. An instance is based on the LOV4IoT and M2 ontologies.

```

<m3:M2MApplication rdf:about="PaulStaroch">
  <m3:hasContext rdf:resource="#m3:Weather"/>
  <m3:hasContext rdf:resource="#m3:BuildingAutomation"/>
  <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">[Paul Staroch 2013]. See LOV4IoT for more details.</rdfs:label>
  <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">Master's Thesis: A weather ontology for predictive control . in smart homes. 2013</rdfs:comment>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:Thermometer"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:PrecipitationSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:HumiditySensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:AtmosphericPressureSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:SolarRadiationSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:WindDirectionSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:WindSpeedSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:SunPositionDirectionSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:SunPositionElevationSensor"/>
  <m3:hasM2MDevice rdf:resource="#m3:CloudCoverSensor"/>
  <m3:hasUrlOntology rdf:resource="http://paul.staroch.name/thesis/SmartHomeWeather.owl"/>
  <m3:hasUrlRule rdf:resource="http://paul.staroch.name/thesis/SmartHomeWeather.owl"/>
  <lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus rdf:resource="#lov4iot:OnlineLOV"/>
  <dcterms:creator>
    <foaf:Person rdf:about="mailto:paul@staroch.name">
      <foaf:name>Paul Staroch</foaf:name>
    </foaf:Person>
  </dcterms:creator>
</m3:M2MApplication>

```

**Figure 22. An ontology-based IoT project referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset**

## III. LOV4IoT Javadoc Documentation

A javadoc has been created to understand the JAVA project:

LOV4IoT Javadoc: <http://lov4iot.appspot.com/documentation/javadoc/index.html>

## IV. Adding a new domain to LOV4IoT

### Methodology

We developed the following methodology when we need to add a new domain to LOV4IoT.

Algo:

- Does the M3 ontology already referenced the domain
  - IF YES -> perfect, retrieve the URL
  - IF not add a new concept (Subclassof ssn:FeatureOfInterest)
    - Appropriate for some domains like water management, home
    - Less appropriate for generic domain like WoT, IoT -> how to improve?
- STEP: Enrich the LOV4IoT RDF dataset with the new ontology-based project
- STEP: Check the LOV4IoT web service support this new domain
  - add it manually
  - IMPROVEMENT TO DO: make the web service more generic by automatically replacing the SPARQL query with the featureofinterest parameter?
- STEP: LOV4IoT HTML web page
  - add a new picture to represent the domain
  - call the web service to automatically retrieve ontologies and compute the number
  - create the table with the links to papers, ontologies, best practices, and other relevant information not explained within the RDF dataset.

Code Example:

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="WebofThings">
    <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">WoT (Web of Things)</rdfs:label>
    <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">WoT (Web of Things). Useful to classify
projects and ontologies within the LOV4IoT Project.</rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#FeatureOfInterest"/>
    <dcterms:issued
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date">2017-08-22</dcterms:issued>
</owl:Class>
```

M3 FeatureOfInterest concept has been linked to similar concepts from other ontologies:

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="FeatureOfInterest">
    <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">Feature of Interest</rdfs:label>
    <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">A Feature of Interest is for us a
concept to classify IoT domains such as smart home, healthcare,
etc.</rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#Sensor"/>
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="&xsd:string"/>
    <owl:equivalentClass rdf:resource="&ssn;FeatureOfInterest"/>
```

```

<owl:equivalentClass rdf:resource="&sensor_weather;Observation"/>
<owl:equivalentClass rdf:resource="&m3-lite;DomainOfInterest"/>
<owl:equivalentClass rdf:resource="&sosa;FeatureOfInterest"/>
</owl:Class>

```

STEP: Enrich the LOV4IoT RDF dataset with the new ontology-based project:

```

<m3:M2MApplication rdf:about="Spitfire">
  <m3:hasContext rdf:resource="&m3;WebofThings"/>
    <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">SPITFIRE ontology and LD4Sensor
dataset</rdfs:label>
    <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">SPITFIRE project: Towards a Semantic Web
of Things [Pfisterer et al. 2011]</rdfs:comment>
    <lov4iot:hasOntologyStatus rdf:resource="&lov4iot;AlreadyLOV"/>
    <m3:hasUrlDataset rdf:resource="http://datahub.io/dataset/ld4s-linked-
sensor-data"/>
    <m3:hasUrlOntology
rdf:resource="http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ont/sensor/spitfire.owl"/>
    <dcterms:modified
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date">2017-08-22</dcterms:modified>
</m3:M2MApplication>

```

## V. Refinement between IoT, WoT, Smart City and Sensor ontologies

Sometimes a same project could be referenced within several sections. For instance, domains such as IoT, WoT, Smart City and Sensor ontologies are really close and complementary.

According to the term mainly employed by the authors within their paper, we classify accordingly the ontology.

We encourage you to browse the different domains (see **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**).

Nb onto: 35	Nb onto: 21	Nb onto: 7	Nb onto: 18	Nb onto: 55
Nb onto: 35	Nb onto: 20	Nb onto: 17	Nb onto: 5	Nb onto: 16
				OTHER THINGS
Nb onto: 6	Nb onto: 7	Nb onto: 32	Nb onto: 5	Nb onto: 4

Figure 23. IoT, WoT, Wireless Sensor networks are complimentary

## VI. LOV4IoT Citations

Please do not forget to cite our LOV4IoT work:

- Reusing and Unifying Background Knowledge for Internet of Things with LOV4IoT. 4rd International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud (FiCloud 2016), 22-24 August 2016, Vienna, Austria. Amelie Gyrard, Ghislain Atemezing, Christian Bonnet, Karima Boudaoud and Martin Serrano
- LOV4IoT: A second life for ontology-based domain knowledge to build Semantic Web of Things applications. 4rd International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud (FiCloud 2016), 22-24 August 2016, Vienna, Austria. Amelie Gyrard, Christian Bonnet, Karima Boudaoud and Martin Serrano
- Semantic Web Methodologies, Best Practices and Ontology Engineering Applied to Internet of Things IEEE World Forum on Internet of Things (WF-IoT), Milan, Italy, December 14-16, 2015 Amelie Gyrard, Martin Serrano, Ghislain Atemezing
- Domain knowledge Interoperability to build the Semantic Web of Things W3C Web of Things, 25-26 June 2014, Berlin, Germany Amelie Gyrard, Christian Bonnet and Karima Boudaoud
- Semantic Web Guidelines for domain knowledge interoperability to build the Semantic Web of Things OneM2M International standard, Management, Abstraction and Semantics (MAS) Working Group 5, April 2014 Amelie Gyrard, Christian Bonnet

## VII. Introduction: From LOV to LOV4IoT

Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV) [10] is an ontology catalogue designed by semantic web experts.

New ontologies should follow some best practices to be referenced. In Internet of Things, we classified almost 300 ontologies that cannot be referenced on LOV because of the “bad practices”.

For those reasons, we designed Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT).




Figure 24. Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV)

## 10. Suggesting a vocabulary to LOV

- Step 1: Go to the LOV web page: <http://lov.okfn.org/dataset/lov/>
- Step 2: Click on Suggest
- Step 3: Enter the URL of the ontology
- Step 4: Does your ontology contain ontology metadata as recommended by LOV? [11]




Figure 25. Suggest a vocabulary on LOV



Figure 26. Suggest your ontology on LOV

lov.okfn.org/dataset/lov/suggest?q=https%3A%2F%2Fmimove-apps.paris.inria.fr%2Fontology%2Ffiesta-iot.owl

VOCABS TERMS AGENTS SPARQL/DUMP

**SUGGEST** → https://mimove-apps.paris.inria.fr/ontology/fiesta-iot.owl

Recommends for vocabulary metadata description

**Metadata**

Please check the metadata below and confirm the suggestion using your email for further communication

Submit

URI	<a href="http://purl.org/iot/fiesta-iot#">http://purl.org/iot/fiesta-iot#</a>	Enter you email address that will contact you in case of issues regarding the ontology submitted
Namespace	<a href="http://purl.org/iot/vocab/m3-lite#">http://purl.org/iot/vocab/m3-lite#</a>	
Prefix	m3-lite	
Title	FIESTA-IoT, FIESTA-IoT Ontology @en	
Description	FIESTA-IoT Ontology is a merge of various ontologies such as IoT-lite, M3-lite Taxonomy, SSN and DUL. Please report any errors to support@fiesta-iot.eu @en. The FIESTA-IoT Ontology is an unified ontology. FIESTA-IoT Ontology is a merge of various ontologies such as IoT-lite, M3-lite Taxonomy, SSN and DUL. @en	
Language	<a href="#">English</a> , <a href="#">Italian</a>	
First Issued	2016-04-28	
Last Modified	2016-04-28	
Uses Metadada from (8)	<a href="http://lod.taxonconcept.org/ontology/bn.owl">http://lod.taxonconcept.org/ontology/bn.owl</a> ( <a href="#">txn</a> ) <a href="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/">http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/</a> ( <a href="#">dce</a> ) <a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/">http://purl.org/dc/terms/</a> ( <a href="#">dterms</a> ) <a href="http://purl.org/vocab/vann/">http://purl.org/vocab/vann/</a> ( <a href="#">vann</a> ) <a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#</a> ( <a href="#">rdf</a> ) <a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#</a> ( <a href="#">rdfs</a> ) <a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl/">http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl/</a> ( <a href="#">owl</a> ) <a href="http://www.w3.org/2003/06/sw-vocab-status/ns">http://www.w3.org/2003/06/sw-vocab-status/ns</a> ( <a href="#">vs</a> )	
	<a href="http://data.QUDT.org/QUDT/owl/1.0.0/unit.owl#">http://data.QUDT.org/QUDT/owl/1.0.0/unit.owl#</a> <a href="http://purl.oclc.org/NET/UNISoftware/IoT-Lite#">http://purl.oclc.org/NET/UNISoftware/IoT-Lite#</a>	

**Statistics**

- Classes 378
- Properties 1
- Datatypes 0
- Instances 0

Figure 27. Suggest your ontology on LOV without errors

 [lov.okfn.org/Recommendations\\_Vocabulary\\_Design.pdf](http://lov.okfn.org/Recommendations_Vocabulary_Design.pdf)

# Metadata Recommendations For Linked Open Data Vocabularies

---

Authors	Pierre-Yves Vandenbussche Bernard Vatant
Version	1.1
Date	2012-08-19
Modifications	VOAF namespace updated

## Abstract

This document describes metadata recommendations for vocabulary description on the Web (in RDFS or OWL). We document the properties and classes used to describe metadata about the vocabulary itself and about vocabulary elements.

## Introduction


As the Web of data is growing, emerging initiatives try to organize it [Heath and Bizer, 2011]. A particular project, the Linked Open Data<sup>1</sup> (LOD), helps to improve the quality of data shared by promoting their links and reuse [Bizer et al., 2009]. The data published on the Semantic Web is based on the use of shared vocabularies and ontologies that allow to structure and describe data. These vocabularies act as tools for building formalized reusable data. To facilitate the reuse, we propose some recommendations about metadata for such vocabularies.

## Scope

We must first clarify the meaning of "Vocabulary" in the context of this document. We do not stick to the definition of vocabulary in linguistics meaning but approach it in terms of its use in the Semantic Web. In this context, a vocabulary is basically synonymous<sup>2</sup> of ontology. However, we differentiate vocabulary from an ontology by characteristics enabling reuse and integration by other vocabularies:

**Figure 28. LOV recommendations**

## 11. Errors encountered when suggesting a vocabulary on LOV



**Figure 29.** Errors encountering when suggesting ontologies on LOV

In case you cannot fix the error, you can ask the reactive LOV community on Google+<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> <https://plus.google.com/u/1/communities/108509791366293651606>




Figure 30. LOV community on Google +

A red box highlights the original question from gyrrard Amelie. Another red box highlights the first two answers. The answers discuss the status of PURL and suggest using w3id.org instead.

**Question asked:**

Hi LOV community,  
Is PURL still maintained?  
We would like to reference a new vocab, but we could not "log in". the Log in says:  
Lire la suite

**Reactive Answers:**

**gyrrard Amelie** hier à 12:59  
Best practices - hier à 12:59

Answer to  
+https://plus.google.com/u/0/115137127931365079177/posts as well

**Maxim Kolchin** hier à 13:44  
Hi +gyrrard Amelie, as I know (I may be wrong), the PURL is frozen (closed), it will maintain existing links, but won't accept new. I'd suggest to consider http://w3id.org.  
Lire la suite · Traduire

**Marcia Zeng** hier à 13:47  
Yes, the situation is like what Maxim said. Try to use https://w3id.org/ instead.  
Traduire

Figure 31. The reactive LOV community guides us to fix issues regarding ontologies

## 12. Suggesting a vocabulary to LOV4IoT

We are thinking about a web page to submit a new ontology.

The current solution is to send us a message and we will update the LOVIoT dataset.

**amelie.gyrard@insight-centre.org**


Thanks for your help for referencing more ontologies ☺ We have almost 300 ontology-based projects referenced in LOV4IoT.

sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=ontologies									
Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT) Reusing domain knowledge expertise									
Before to reinvent the wheel, maybe you can reuse the following existing ontologies with minor modifications. We have referenced in this web page <b>297</b> ontology-based projects relevant for IoT. These domain ontologies, although very interesting, are not referenced on the <a href="#">Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV)</a> since the <a href="#">Semantic Web best practices</a> are not followed.									
Nb onto: <b>46</b>	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto: <b>30</b>	Nb onto: <b>32</b>	Nb onto: <b>17</b>	Nb onto: <b>16</b>	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:
Nb onto: <b>55</b>	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	Nb onto:	OTHER THINGS

**Figure 32. Almost 300 ontology-based projects referenced in LOV4IoT**

## VIII. Reusing domain knowledge with LOV4IoT

- Go to the Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT) web page (see Figure 33): <http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=ontologies>
- Choose 1 domain by clicking on the image (e.g., transportation) as depicted in Figure 33.



**Figure 33. Ontologies classified in various domains**

- You will find a table with the following information as depicted in Figure 35:
  - Domain experts names (authors)
  - Year of publication
  - Research articles
  - Ontology URL of available
  - Technologies used in their project
  - Sensors used in their project
  - Rules designed

-Ontologies and projects have been classified according to different colors (see Figure 34):

- Red: the ontology is not available
- White: we do not have any links to get the ontology
- Orange: we contacted authors to get their ontologies. They answered us they will share ontologies and rules soon.
- Yellow: we retrieve the ontology URL or get a copy
- Green: Ontologies published online, cannot be referenced on the Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV)<sup>2</sup> project due to a lack of best practices.
- Dark green: The ontology is referenced on the Linked Open Vocabularies project. It checks best practices.

The ontology will never be available (lost, confidential, etc.) :-(	We are waiting the response of the authors to publish the ontology online	Authors are publishing online the ontology (ongoing work)	Ontology published online but the Semantic Web best practices are not complied with.	Ontology published online and referenced by LOV since Semantic Web best practices are adopted! :-))	Already on LOV - No email sent
---	---	---	--	---	--------------------------------

**Figure 34. Classification of projects according to the reusability**

<sup>2</sup> <http://lov.okfn.org/dataset/lov>

Intelligent Transport Systems								
Authors	Year	Paper	Url onto	Technologies	Sensors	Rules	LOV status	Security
Bermejo, Astrain Escola Mail: 14/02/14, Response: 18/02/14	2014	Paper: Ontology based road traffic management	No server (response), but sent us the <a href="#">OWL ontology copy</a> <a href="#">URL Application ?</a> Concepts: 24 classes, 12 properties, 77 rules. Vehicle	SWRL (DLSafeRule), Jess reasoner, extension of A3ME ontology, OWL API 3.4.2	LastBeforeGap, FirstAfterGap, NotOvertaker, Overtaker), distance sensor -> Space (Lateral, Ahead, Behind, NoSpace), Acceleration sensor (BigSpeedDifference, SpeedWith,	77 rules/actions (swrl disafe rule in the ontology) change line, decelerate, accelerate, maintain distance with car in front, maintain speed	Priority 1, responsive, ongoing, lov metadata, uri definable 26/03/14, ask for label and comment	
Morignot, Pollard et al.	2013	Paper: An ontology-based Model to determine the automation level of an automated vehicle for co-driving Paper: An ontology-based approach to relax traffic regulation for autonomous vehicle assistance	<a href="#">Ontology URL</a> <a href="#">Ontology URL</a> Concepts: emotion driver, Weather Conditions (foggy, cloudy, snowy, sunny, rainy), lighting conditions (day, night, setting sun), road (highway, campaing, urban, mountain), obstacles	Pellet, SWRL (DLSafeRule), Protege, SWOOPS (ontology editor)	Position, velocity, acceleration/braking and steering actuators	foggy -> mode manual, search for parking place, stopped, hasNextMotion	content negociation, uri def error, vapour rdf/xml	

Figure 35. Screenshot of LOV4IoT

## IX. LOV4IoT web services/APIs

### 1. LOV4IoTWS Java class

This Java class contains all web services related to LOV4IoT.




Figure 36. LOV4IoTWS Java Class location

All web services related to the Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT) dataset<sup>3</sup> to automatically count the number of ontologies in this dataset (e.g., by domains, by ontology status, etc.):

- /lov4iot/totalOnto/ which executes a SPARQL query to count the total number of ontology-based project referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset.  
E.g., <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/totalOnto/>
- /lov4iot/ontoStatus/{status} which executes a SPARQL query to count the different status of ontologies
  - Status can be: Online, Confidential, OngoingProcessOnline, WaitForAnswer, Online, OnlineLOV, AlreadyLOV  
E.g., <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatus/?status=Online>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=ontologies>

- /lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/{domain} which executes a SPARQL query to count the different ontologies in all domains
  - Domain can be: BuildingAutomation, Weather, Emotion, Agriculture, Health, Tourism, Transportation, City, EnergyFOI, Environment, TrackingFood, Activity, Fire, TrackingCD, TrackingDVD, SensorNetworks, IoT, Security

E.g.,

<http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation>

- /lov4iot/sendEmail/{recipient,paper} which sends email to encourage people to share their domain knowledge (ontologies, datasets, and rules)

```
@GET
@Path("/totalOnto/")
@Produces(MediaType.APPLICATION_XML)
public Response getTotalNumberOntology() {
    //Load the LOV4IoT dataset into the model
    Model model = ModelFactory.createDefaultModel();
    ReadFile.enrichJenaModelOntologyDataset(model, Var.LOV4IOT_DATASET_PATH);
    M2MAppGeneric m2mappli = new M2MAppGeneric(model);

    //SPARQL query
    ExecuteSparql sparqlQuery = new ExecuteSparql(model, Var.ROOT_SPARQL_LOV4IoT + "countTotalOntology.sparql");

    //no variable to replace in the SPARQL query
    ArrayList<VariableSparql> var = new ArrayList<VariableSparql>();
    String resultSparqlsenml = sparqlQuery.getSelectResultAsXML(var);

    return Response.status(200).entity(resultSparqlsenml).build();
}
```

**Figure 37. Example of the lov4iot/totalOnto: web service**

Yan can download the LOV4IoT RDF dataset<sup>4</sup> and write your own SPARQL queries.

Otherwise, we designed some web services:

## 2. Web service: Get the total number of ontologies

Query:

<http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/totalOnto/>

---

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/dataset/lov4iot-dataset>

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document

```
- <sparql>
  - <head>
    <variable name="ontologyTotal"/>
  </head>
  - <results>
    - <result>
      - <binding name="ontologyTotal">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">270</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>
```

**Figure 38. LOV4IoT Web service to count the total number of ontologies**

In the picture, 270 is the total number of ontologies referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset.

### 3. Web service: Get the number of ontologies by domains

Query:

<http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/?domain=BuildingAutomation>

For instance domain is: BuildingAutomation, Weather, Emotion, Agriculture, Health, Tourism, Transportation, City, Energy, Environment, TrackingFood, Activity, Fire, TrackingCD, TrackingDVD, SensorNetworks, Security.

The domain is referenced in the M3 nomenclature which is implemented in the M3 ontology (subclassOf FeatureOfInterest).

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document contains the following text:

```
- <sparql>
  - <head>
    <variable name="nbOntoDomain"/>
  </head>
  - <results>
    - <result>
      - <binding name="nbOntoDomain">
        <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">45</literal>
      </binding>
    </result>
  </results>
</sparql>
```

Figure 39. LOV4IoT Web service to count the number of ontologies by domain

#### 4. Web service: Get the number of ontology by ontology status

Query:

<http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/lov4iot/ontoStatus/?status=Online>

For instance, status is: Confidential, OngoingProcessOnline, WaitForAnswer, Online, OnelinLOV, AlreadyLOV.

This XML file does not appear to have any style information associated with it. The document contains the following text:

```
--<sparql>
--<head>
  <variable name="ontologyTotal"/>
</head>
--<results>
--<result>
  --<binding name="ontologyTotal">
    <literal datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">87</literal>
  </binding>
</result>
</results>
</sparql>
```

**Figure 40. LOV4IoT Web service to count the number of ontologies by ontology status**

The web service returns that 87 ontologies referenced in the LOV4IoT RDF dataset are online.

## 5. Use Case: LOV4IoT HTML user interface using web services

All of these web services have been used in the HTML LOV4IoT web page<sup>5</sup> to automatically count the number of ontologies in the dataset (e.g., by domains, by ontology status, etc.)

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=ontologies>

## Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT) Reusing domain knowledge expertise

LO4IoT web service:  
[/lov4iot/totalOnto/](#)

Before to reinvent a wheel, maybe you can reuse the following existing ontologies with minor modifications.

We have referenced in this web page **269** ontology-based projects relevant for IoT. These domain ontologies, although very interesting, are not referenced on the [Linked Open Vocabularies \(LOV\)](#) since the [Semantic Web best practices](#) are not followed.

LO4IoT web service:  
[/lov4iot/nbOntoDomain/](#)

Nb onto: <b>45</b>	Nb onto: 8	Nb onto: 10	Nb onto: 30	Nb onto: 28	Nb onto: 17	Nb onto: 14	Nb onto: 3	Nb onto: 17	
Nb onto: 52	Nb onto: 29	Nb onto: 6	Nb onto: 6	Nb onto: 8	Nb onto: 7	Nb onto: 27			

LO4IoT web service:  
[/lov4iot/ontoStatus/](#)

Ontologies have been colored as following:					
The ontology will never be available (lost, confidential, etc.)	We are waiting the response from the authors if they can publish the ontology online	Authors are publishing online the ontology (ongoing work)	Ontology published online but the Semantic Web best practices are not followed.	Ontology published online and referenced by LOV since Semantic Web best practices are adopted :-))	Already on LOV - No email sent
Nb onto: <b>25</b>	Nb onto: 113	Nb onto: 24	Nb onto: 87	Nb onto: 13	Nb onto: 7

**Figure 41. LOV4IoT web services**

## X. HTML web page



In the future, we will automatically build the HTML web page according to the LOV4IoT RDF dataset. This work is ongoing. Currently, we have to update the HTML web page and the RDF dataset when we want to reference a new ontology-based project.

### 1. Adding a new ontology in LOV4IoT HTML web page

Go to m3/WAR/html/lov4iot.html

Look for the table related to the domain, add a new line with all columns required.

<http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=ontologies>




Figure 42. LOV4IoT HTML file location

## XI. LOV4IoT ontology





Figure 43. LOV4IoT ontology file location

### 1. Visualizing LOV4IoT with WEBOWL

<http://owl.visualdataweb.org/webowl/#iri=http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/ontology/m3/lov4iot#>



**Figure 44.** Visualizing LOV4IoT ontology with WEBOWL

## XII. LOV4IoT Bot

### 1. LOV Bot user interface

To encourage people to share their ontologies you can use the LOV4IoT bot<sup>6</sup>.

**LOV4IoT-bot**

LOV4IoT-bot encourages domain experts to share their domain knowledge (ontologies, datasets and rules) by sending emails:

To (domain expert):

Paper:

**Figure 45.** LOV4IoT bot user interface

<sup>6</sup> <http://sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=lov4iot>

## 2. LOV Bot explanations

### LOV4IoT-bot

LOV4IoT-bot encourages domain experts to share their domain knowledge

To (domain expert): (ontologies, datasets and rules) by sending emails:

gyrard@eurecom.fr

=> To replace by the author's email

Paper:

Research articles read

=> To replace by the title of the research article  
describing ontologies, datasets or rules relevant for IoT

Send Email

Use our web service to automatically send email  
to encourage domain experts  
to share their domain knowledge

```
@GET  
@Path("/sendEmail/")  
@Consumes(MediaType.APPLICATION_FORM_URLENCODED)  
@Produces(MediaType.TEXT_PLAIN)  
public static Response sendEmail(@QueryParam(value = "recipient") String recipient, @QueryParam(value = "paper") String paper) {  
    try {  
  
        System.out.println("Paper: " + paper);  
        System.out.println("Recipient: " + recipient);  
        Properties props = new Properties();  
        Session session = Session.getDefaultInstance(props, null);  
        String message = "";  
  
        Message msg = new MimeMessage(session);  
        msg.setFrom(new InternetAddress("ameliegyrard@gmail.com", "gyrard@eurecom.fr"));  
        msg.addRecipient(Message.RecipientType.TO,  
                        new InternetAddress(recipient, ""));  
        msg.addRecipient(Message.RecipientType.CC,  
                        new InternetAddress("gyrard@eurecom", "Amelie Gyrard"));  
        msg.setSubject("ontology and rule");  
        msg.setText(message);  
        Transport.send(msg);  
    } catch (Exception e) {  
        e.printStackTrace();  
    }  
}
```

Figure 46. Code to send emails to convince authors to share their ontologies with LOV4IoT bot

## XIII. LOV4IoT Architecture

TO DO: Take inspiration from LOV paper?

## XIV. Repository purl with Ghis

Some ontologies very relevant for IoT are kept in silos by the owners and do not follow some best practices in their design. LOV4IOT platform references almost 300 ontologies that fall into that category. To overcome the issue, we propose to use a collaborative approach using Github for modeling the ontologies and publish them using PURL system under the URI [http://purl.org/iot/vocab/{name\\_ ontology}](http://purl.org/iot/vocab/{name_ ontology}). For instance, name ontology is m3-lite with the following namespace <http://purl.org/iot/vocab/m3-lite#>. PURL enables keeping always the same namespace whatever where the ontology is hosted. The work has already started at <https://github.com/LOV4IoT/vocabs>. The goal is to republish all the legacy ontologies under the PURL.org namespace using redirection to the Github location.

## XV. LOV4IoT Use Cases

In this section, we demonstrate that the LOV4IoT dataset has been used in two uses cases: the Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework to build interoperable Semantic Web of Things applications and the LOV4IoT analyzer to detect the most popular terms used in ontologies. Moreover, we explain that different stakeholders can benefit from or exploit the LOV4IoT dataset such as domain experts, ontology matching tool experts, knowledge extraction experts, Semantic Web of Things developers and projects as depicted in Figure :

### I. LOV4IoT sequence diagram

TO DO

#### 1. Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework

**Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework** employs the LOV4IoT dataset to redesign interoperable domain ontologies, rules and datasets to assist IoT developers in designing semantic-based IoT applications without having to learn semantic web technologies thanks to the Machine-to-Machine Measurement (M3) framework \cite{gyrard2015m3}.

**LOV4IoT analyser** exploits the LOV4IoT dataset to load all ontologies in the same domain and extract the most popular concepts and properties. An essential step to later automatically build interoperable background knowledge.

This functionality will be exploited within the EU FIESTA-IoT project\footnote{\url{http://www.fiesta-iot.eu/}}.

## 2. Domain experts

**Domain experts** can use this dataset for their state of the art and to reuse existing ontologies or before designing their own ontologies, etc. For instance, a security expert used the LOV4IoT user interface to analyze existing ontologies in the security domain.

## 3. Knowledge extraction experts

**Knowledge extraction experts** can benefit from the LOV4IoT dataset since the domain knowledge expertise is referenced and classified. There is a need of innovative tools to extract rules, etc. and redesign ontologies, datasets and rules in an unified way and having the same structure to facilitate interoperability in future architectures and systems.

## 4. Ontology matching tool experts

**Ontology matching tool experts** can reuse this dataset to later standardized the most popular and well-designed ontologies. They benefit from LOV4IoT by analyzing interoperability issues explained in [5] [4], exiting tools need to be improved to ease interoperability. Ontology editor tools such as Protege could preconize the re-use of existing ontologies based on the LOV and LOV4IoT dataset. When the user designd a new concept or property, some recommendations could be provided to reuse existing ontologies. This task is under development within ProtegeLOV<sup>7</sup>. Such extensions could be improved to recommend to integrate labels, comments, ontology metadata, etc. as preconized by LOV.

## 5. IoT/SWoT developers and projects

**IoT/SWoT developers and projects** can surf on the LOV4IoT web page to search domain ontologies according to a specific domain. For instance, the developer is looking for smart home ontologies, he goes on this section and finds more than 45 projects describing sensors and rules employed to build smart homes applications. Some scenarios such as air pollution or real-time traffic monitoring among the 101 scenarios proposed by CityPulse can reuse the ontologies referenced in LOV4IoT by searching these keywords or the related sections.

A table is available on the web<sup>8</sup> to match the scenarios proposed by CityPulse and how the LOV4IoT tool can assist in building the applications by reusing domain knowledge. A concrete example if the chronic disease scenario proposed by CityPulse, they want to build an application to monitor food consumption according to user's diseases deduced from physiological data. We have referenced the naturopathy ontology and dataset which can be reused to build this application.

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://boris.villazon.terrazas.name/projects/prolov/index.html>

<sup>8</sup> [http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=m3\\_scenario](http://www.sensormeasurement.appspot.com/?p=m3_scenario)

## 6. Ontology matching tool experts

Usually, ontology matching tools are evaluated with the Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative (OAEI)<sup>9</sup> benchmark. Current ontology matching tools are not adapted to ontologies referenced in the LOV4IoT dataset. A main challenge would be to have ontology matching tools adapted to both datasets (OAEI and LOV4IoT) meeting these main requirements: (1) heterogeneous languages, (2) syntactic heterogeneity, (3) conceptual heterogeneity, (4) terminological heterogeneity, and (5) semiotic heterogeneity. For instance, concepts or properties do not have labels or comments whereas ontology matching tool algorithms are based on labels to compare them. The ontologies from the OAEI benchmark differ in their structure compared to the domain ontologies from the ones found in LOV4IoT. Regarding ontologies relevant for IoT, concepts are linked with each other through owl:Restriction, and properties associated to concepts are not frequently used. For instance, snow is linked to temperature and precipitation through owl:Restriction. In the OAEI benchmark, concepts have properties which are mostly used by ontology matching tools. For instance, a person or a patient have both properties such as family name and birth date.

As explained above, the LOV4IoT dataset is relevant for various communities.

## II. Lessons Learnt: Best Practices

We have learnt a set of best practices. More explanations can be found in [6] [4].

Reminder List of tools:

- Vapour [1]
- See this web page<sup>10</sup> for more tools
- ProtegeLOV<sup>11</sup> [3]
- LOV ontology metadata [11]

### 1. Ontology Documentation

Tools:

- WebVOWL [7]
  - Easy to use
- LODE [8]
  - Nice documentation
- Parrot [9]
  - Easy to use
  - Cannot integrate picture

---

<sup>9</sup> <http://oaei.ontologymatching.org/>

<sup>10</sup> <http://localhost:50101/?p=bestPractice>

<sup>11</sup> <http://data.semanticweb.org/conference/eswc/2015/paper/demo/2>

- OWL DOC protege plugin<sup>12</sup>
- Neologism [2]

### *III. Improvement ideas*

We have in mind the following improvements:

- Improving the user interface
- Automatically updating the LOV4IoT database
- Creation of an automatic workflow to check the best practices
- Interconnecting LOV4IoT with LOV
- Encouraging best practices with some tools (e.g., ProtegeLOV extension)
- Integrating LOV4IoT with semantic search engines, ontology and dataset catalogues.

Feel free to join the LOV4IoT community to help us! You are more than welcome ☺


#### *1. Improving the user interface*

- TO DO: Take inspiration from LOV user interface<sup>13</sup> and adapt it to IoT domain:
- Technology used: D3.js javaScript library for visualizations.


---

<sup>12</sup> <http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/wiki/OWLDoc>

<sup>13</sup> <http://lov.okfn.org/dataset/lov/>



**Figure 47. Bubble view to classify ontologies according to the sensor type**



**Figure 48. Bubble view to classify ontologies according to the IoT applicative domain**

## 2. Checking best practices

LOV provides an interface for each ontology to use some tools such as:

- **WebOWL** to visualize the ontology
- **Oops** to detect common ontology pitfalls
- **Parrot** to see the documentation of the ontology
- **Vapour** to check that the ONTOLOGY URL is deferencable (content negociation)
- RDF Triple-Checker to check some typos or syntax issues.

TO DO:

- Something similar with LOV4IoT
- Integration with more tools referenced in [6].
- Creating the entire workflow of validation.

**Semantic Sensor Network Ontology (ssn)**

**Metadata**

URI	<a href="http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/ssnx/ssn">http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/ssnx/ssn</a>
Namespace	<a href="http://purl.oclc.org/NET/ssnx:ssn#">http://purl.oclc.org/NET/ssnx:ssn#</a>
Description	This ontology describes sensors and observations, and related concepts. It does not describe domain concepts, time, locations, etc. these are intended to be included from other ontologies via OWL imports. @en
Language	
Creator	W3C Semantic Sensor Network Incubator Group <a href="http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/">http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/</a>
Comment	(2014-07-29) Bernard Vatant: Annual review - no change (2013-08-04) Bernard Vatant: Imports an obsolete version of DUL <a href="http://www.loa-cnr.it/ontologies/DUL.owl#">http://www.loa-cnr.it/ontologies/DUL.owl#</a> (2015-04-03) Bernard Vatant: Added creator

**Tools to check best practices, visualizations, etc.**


**Figure 49. Best practices tools integrated with LOV**

### 3. Automatically updating LOV4IoT

Updating the LOV4IoT dataset is simple, it is adding a new row in the HTML web page or a new instance in the RDF LOV4IoT dataset. If required, we could find additional background knowledge by connecting LOV4IoT to semantic search engines and ontology or dataset catalogue as depicted in Figure 50.

At the beginning of this work, we started to use ontology catalogues such as Linked Open Vocabulary (LOV) since it provides web services. Unfortunately, when we were experimenting this, we realized that most of the ontologies designed for IoT were not referenced on such tools yet.

As a long-term vision, LOV4IoT should be interconnecting with existing ontology/dataset catalogues and semantic search engines.



**Figure 50. Integrating LOV4IoT with semantic search engines and catalogues**

#### 4. New web service

TO DO: Create web services (Suggested by Ali June 2016):

- Get all ontologies URL and research papers in IoT
- Get all ontologies URL and research papers in Sensor Networks
- Get all ontologies URL and research papers in IoT and in Sensor Networks

## IV. References

- [1] Diego Berrueta, Sergio Fernández, and Iván Fraile. Cooking http content negotiation with vapour. In *Proceedings of 4th Workshop on Scripting for the Semantic Web (SFSW2008)*. Citeseer, 2008.
- [2] Richard Cyganiak, Cosmin Basca, Stéphane Corlosquet, Thomas Schandl, and Sergio Fernández. Neologism: Easy vocabulary publishing. 2008.
- [3] Nuria García-Santa, Ghislain Auguste Atemezing, and Boris Villazón-Terrazas. The protégélov plugin: Ontology access and reuse for everyone. In *The 12th Extended Semantic Web Conference (ESWC2015)*.
- [4] Amélie Gyrard and Christian Bonnet. Semantic Web best practices: Semantic Web Guidelines for domain knowledge interoperability to build the Semantic Web of Things, 04 2014.
- [5] Amélie Gyrard, Christian Bonnet, and Karima Boudaoud. Domain knowledge Interoperability to build the semantic web of things, 06 2014.

- [6] Amelie Gyrard, Martin Serrano, and Ghislain Atemezing. Semantic web methodologies, best practices and ontology engineering applied to internet of things. In *WF-IOT 2015, World Forum on Internet of Things, 14-16 December 2015, Milan, Italy*, 2015.
- [7] Steffen Lohmann, Vincent Link, Eduard Marbach, and Stefan Negru. Webowl: Web-based visualization of ontologies. In *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management*, pages 154–158. Springer, 2014.
- [8] Silvio Peroni, David Shotton, and Fabio Vitali. The live owl documentation environment: a tool for the automatic generation of ontology documentation. In *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management*, pages 398–412. Springer, 2012.
- [9] Carlos Tejo-Alonso, Diego Berrueta, Luis Polo, and Sergio Fernández. Metadata for web ontologies and rules: Current practices and perspectives. In *Metadata and Semantic Research*, pages 56–67. Springer, 2011.
- [10] Pierre-Yves Vandenbussche, Ghislain A Atemezing, Mará Poveda-Villalón, and Bernard Vatant. Lov: a gateway to reusable semantic vocabularies on the web. *Semantic Web Journal*, 2015.
- [11] Pierre-Yves Vandenbussche and Bernard Vatant. Metadata recommendations for linked open data vocabularies. *Version*, 1:2011–12, 2011.